

# Auswertung Fragebogenaktion Bundessiegerzuchtschau 2016



SV-Hauptgeschäftsstelle - Qualitätsmanagementsystem

---

Already the second time, following the Bundessiegerzuchtschau we asked the exhibitors to take part in our questionnaire.

As a result of the campaign the main office received 87 questionnaires this year (prior year 102).

We would like to say "Thank you" to all exhibitors actively who took part in this campaign so that we can continue to adapt the processing to the exhibitor's desires.

Following please find the results:

## 1. At how many Sieger Shows did you already exhibit?

**Replies: 83, no reply: 4 (Participation 95,4 %) (py: 100 / 2 / 98,04 %)**

Never before:	3	3,61 %	py:	10	10,00 %
1 – 5:	26	31,33 %	py:	22	22,00 %
6 – 10:	38	45,78 %	py:	22	22,00 %
11 – 15:	7	8,43 %	py:	11	11,00 %
16 – 20:	2	2,41 %	py:	6	6,00 %
21 – 25:	4	4,82 %	py:	6	6,00 %
26 – 30:	0	0 %	py:	12	12,00 %
31 – 35:	0	0 %	py:	3	3,00 %
36 – 40:	2	2,41 %	py:	4	4,00 %
41 – 49:	1	1,21 %	py:	3	3,00 %
50 - 55:	0	0 %	py:	1	1,00 %

## 2. How many dogs do you exhibit this year?

**Replies: 85, no reply: 2 (Participation 97,7 %) (py: 101 / 1 / 99,02 %)**

1 dog:	37	56,06 %	py:	46	45,54 %
2 dogs:	16	24,24 %	py:	25	24,75 %
3 dogs:	9	13,64 %	py:	11	10,89 %
4 dogs:	1	1,52 %	py:	4	3,96 %
5 dogs:	1	1,52 %	py:	10	9,90 %
6 dogs:	1	1,52 %	py:	2	1,98 %
7 dogs:	0	0 %	py:	1	0,99 %
8 dogs:	0	0 %	py:	1	0,99 %
11 dogs:	0	0 %	py:	1	0,99 %
17 dogs:	1	1,52 %	py:	0	0 %

## 3. Would you support the single classes being rated from a team of judges?

**Replies: 81, no reply: 6 (Participation 93,1 %) (py: 100 / 2 / 98,04 %)**

Yes:	65	80,25 %	py:	70	70,00 %
No:	12	14,81 %	py:	21	21,00 %

# Auswertung Fragebogenaktion Bundessiegerzuchtschau 2016



SV-Hauptgeschäftsstelle - Qualitätsmanagementsystem

No opinion: 4 4,94 % py: 9 9,00 %

## 4. In which class did your dog start?

Replies: 81, no reply: 6 (Participation 93,1 %) (py: 99 / 3 / 97,06 %)

Stockhair:

GHKR	12	14,81 %	py:	25	25,25 %
GHKH	14	17,28 %	py:	16	16,16 %
JKHR	10	12,35 %	py:	9	9,09 %
JHKH	9	11,11 %	py:	7	7,07 %
JKR	7	8,64 %	py:	12	12,12 %
JKH	18	22,22 %	py:	12	12,12 %
HGH	1	1,24 %	py:	2	2,02 %

Longstockhair:

GHKR	2	2,48 %	py:	4	4,04 %
GHKH	1	1,24 %	py:	1	1,01 %
JHKR	2	2,48 %	py:	1	1,01 %
JHKH	3	3,71 %	py:	2	2,02 %
JKR	1	1,24 %	py:	2	2,02 %
JKH	1	1,24 %	py:	6	6,06 %

## 5. How many times did you exhibit your dog in preparation of the Sieger Show?

Replies: 84, no reply: 3 (Participation 96,6%) (py: 97 / 5 / 95,10 %)

Never before:	6	7,14 %	py:	2	2,06 %
1 time:	2	2,38 %	py:	4	4,12 %
2 times:	7	8,33 %	py:	9	9,28 %
3 times:	20	23,81 %	py:	13	13,40 %
4 times:	16	19,05 %	py:	21	21,65 %
5 times:	10	11,91 %	py:	16	16,49 %
6 times:	9	10,71 %	py:	13	13,40 %
7 times:	6	7,14 %	py:	5	5,15 %
8 times:	3	3,57 %	py:	6	6,19 %
9 times:	1	1,19 %	py:	2	2,06 %
10 times:	3	3,57 %	py:	3	3,09 %
11 times:	1	1,19 %	py:	1	1,03 %
15 times:	0	0 %	py:	1	1,03 %
50 times:	0	0 %	py:	1	1,03 %

# Auswertung Fragebogenaktion Bundessiegerzuchtschau 2016

SV-Hauptgeschäftsstelle - Qualitätsmanagementsystem



## 6. Under how many judges did you exhibit your dog?

Replies: 85, no reply: 2 (Participation 97,7 %) (py: 94 / 8 / 92,16 %)

Not any	2	2,35 %	py:	0	0 %
1 judge:	3	3,53 %	py:	4	4,26 %
2 judges:	16	18,82 %	py:	13	13,83 %
3 judges:	22	25,88 %	py:	26	27,66 %
4 judges:	14	16,47 %	py:	25	26,60 %
5 judges:	17	20,00 %	py:	13	13,83 %
6 judges:	5	5,88 %	py:	8	8,51 %
7 judges:	3	3,53 %	py:	2	2,13 %
8 judges:	2	2,35 %	py:	0	0 %
9 judges:	1	1,18 %	py:	0	0 %
10 judges:	0	0 %	py:	2	2,13 %
12 judges:	0	0 %	py:	1	1,06 %

## 7. Did the rating on the BSZ meet your expectations?

Replies: 80, no reply: 7 (Participation 92,0 %) (py: 88 / 14 / 86,27 %)

Grade 1:	15	18,75 %	py:	17	19,32 %
Grade 2:	19	23,75 %	py:	22	25,00 %
Grade 3:	15	18,75 %	py:	18	20,45 %
Grade 4:	6	7,50 %	py:	9	10,23 %
Grade 5:	12	15,00 %	py:	7	7,95 %
Grade 6:	13	16,25 %	py:	15	17,05 %

## 8. Did you withdraw your dog? If so, why?

Replies: 48, no reply: 39 (Participation 55,2 %) (64 / 38 / 62,75 %)

Yes	9	18,75 %	py:	18	28,13 %
No	39	81,25 %	py:	46	71,87 %

As last year, the comments to question 8 generally refer to the disappointment about the rating of the dog.

## 9. Was the organization of the event in your class all right (timing, announcement)?

Replies: 81, no reply: 6 (Participation 93,1 %) (py: 95 / 7 / 93,14 %)

Grade 1:	20	24,69 %	py:	26	27,37 %
----------	----	---------	-----	----	---------

# Auswertung Fragebogenaktion Bundessiegerzuchtschau 2016



SV-Hauptgeschäftsstelle - Qualitätsmanagementsystem

---

Grade 2:	40	49,38 %	py:	33	34,74 %
Grade 3:	9	11,11 %	py:	12	12,63 %
Grade 4:	5	6,17 %	py:	10	10,53 %
Grade 5:	5	6,17 %	py:	4	4,21 %
Grade 6:	2	2,47 %	py:	10	10,53 %

## 10. Did you visit the welcome event?

Replies: 80, no reply: 7 (Participation 92 %) (py: 99 / 3 / 97,06 %)

Yes	7	8,75 %	py:	42	42,42 %
No	73	91,25 %	py:	57	57,58 %

## 11. How do you assess the information content of the welcome event?

Replies: 17, no reply: 70 (Participation 19,5 %) (py: 54 / 48 / 52,94 %)

Grade 1:	3	17,65 %	py:	16	29,63 %
Grade 2:	2	11,76 %	py:	21	38,89 %
Grade 3:	6	35,29 %	py:	5	9,26 %
Grade 4:	3	17,65 %	py:	6	11,11 %
Grade 5:	2	11,76 %	py:	1	1,85 %
Grade 6:	1	5,88 %	py:	5	9,26 %

## 12. Should the welcome event be maintained in this form?

Replies: 67, no replies: 20 (Participation 77,0 %) (py: 76 / 26 / 74,51 %)

Yes	6	8,96 %	py:	41	53,95 %
No	1	1,49 %	py:	9	11,84 %
No opinion	60	89,55 %	py:	26	34,21 %

## 13. Do you have ideas for a modification or an improvement of the welcome event?

Replies: 7, no reply: 80 (Participation 8,0 %) (py: 29 / 73 / 28,43 %)

In contrast to last year only few ideas for improvement have been submitted. Regrettably, in this item it is not possible to implement actual requests.

## 14. How do you assess the present entry system for BSZ?

Replies: 81, no reply: 6 (Participation 93,1 %) (py: 99 / 3 / 97,06 %)

Grade 1:	45	54,32 %	py:	36	36,36 %
----------	----	---------	-----	----	---------

# Auswertung Fragebogenaktion Bundessiegerzuchtschau 2016



SV-Hauptgeschäftsstelle - Qualitätsmanagementsystem

---

Grade 2:	25	30,86 %	py:	35	35,35 %
Grade 3:	7	8,64 %	py:	12	12,12 %
Grade 4:	2	2,47 %	py:	8	8,08 %
Grade 5:	0	0 %	py:	5	5,05 %
Grade 6:	3	3,70 %	py:	3	3,03 %

## 15. Do you have suggestions regarding the entry system (ideas for improvement)?

**Replies: 22, no reply: 65 (Participation 25,3 %) (py: 31 / 71 / 30,39 %)**

Concerning this matter, a clear improvement on last year can be found. Concerning the entry system itself some suggestions have been submitted, the registration counter at the event has been found very good from the exhibitors.

## 16. Did you catch a bad impression of something?

**Replies: 70, no reply: 17 (Participation 80,5 %) (py: 83 / 19 / 81,37 %)**

### Protection work:

Some exhibitors had a bad impression of the behavior of some few protection work helpers from the regional group Bayern-Nord and their suspension as well as the protection work rating of the male "Finn von der Piste Trophe".

The protection work rating itself, contrary to the previous year, has not been criticized.

### Rating mode:

The same points as last year have been criticized – subjective ratings and preference of particular persons.

### Measure commission:

The measure commission itself is not criticized; however, the members and the handling of the measure are. For this item SV will work out steps for an improvement until next Sieger Show.

### Organization:

Only single items have been criticized; however, no cumulation from which a need of action would be caused can be seen.

### General:

Generally, many exhibitors mentioned that the number of visitors has been decreased conspicuously.

## 17. Did you catch a positive impression of something?

**Replies: 49, no reply: 38 (Participation 56,3 %) (py: 62 / 40 / 60,78 %)**

The exhibitors rated positive

-as already last year the organization of the event

- the registration counter (this has been criticized last year)



- the work of the protection helpers (this has strongly been criticized last year)

**18. Do you have further suggestions?**

**Replies: 41, no reply: 46 (Participation 47,1 %) (VJ: 57 / 45 / 55,88 %)**

Numerous suggestions have been submitted; however, no cause of action can be derived from. Frequently, it has been suggested to rate the dogs fairer. This has also been desired last year. Also, according to the exhibitor's opinion the measure commission needs a clear improvement.